Case 1:18-cv-00871-VEC Document 43 Filed 08/02/18 Page 1 of 3

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

**Employment and Litigation Attorneys** 

60 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

cmulholland@faillacelaw.com

Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

June 29th, 2018

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:\_

DATE FILED: 7/2/2018

Via ECF

Honorable Valerie E. Caproni United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street

Re: Figueroa v. 94-96 Avenue A Realty Corp. et al;

18 CV 871 (VEC)

**MEMO ENDORSED** 

Dear Judge Caproni:

New York, NY 10007

We represent Defendants in the above referenced matter and this letter in compliance with Your Honor's order requiring the submission of a joint letter regarding outstanding discovery disputes. The undersigned emailed a similar draft of this letter to Plaintiff's counsel at around 2 in the afternoon but in lieu of responding to me, Plaintiff's counsel appears to have filed his own letter/declaration.

Defendants also request that a conference be set requiring the attendance of the Plaintiff for the reasons set forth below. Defendants are unclear about whether Plaintiff consents to this request for a conference or not.

It is the understanding of the undersigned that counsel have arrived at tentative agreements regarding most of the discovery issues raised but one major dispute remains outstanding that requires Court intervention – the Plaintiff's Deposition.

Details regarding these issues are set forth more fully in the Declaration of Colin Mulholland, Esq. dated 6/29/18.

Defendants' understanding is as follows:

PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION

Defendants' noticed Plaintiff for a deposition back on June 1<sup>st</sup> 2018 to be held at the

office of Defendants' counsel on June 29th, 2018. Plaintiff's counsel never responded regarding

that deposition to either confirm, deny nor offer alternative dates. Rather, Plaintiff's counsel

dispatched a letter by email after 5pm the night before the deposition was scheduled to advise

that Plaintiff would not attend any deposition unless and until Defendants respond to discovery

demands that were only served on June 27th, 2018.

It is Defendants contention that Plaintiff is not entitled to avoid a deposition on that basis

pursuant to the FRCP and would ask the Court leave to file a motion to compel Plaintiff's

deposition.

THE POWER OF ATTORNEY AND HIPAA

Plaintiff's counsel provided an improperly executed Power of Attorney dated June 26<sup>th</sup>,

2018 to Defendants that lacked a proper notary and listed Mr. Figueroa's address as Mr.

Finkelstein's office address. It is also the contention of Defendant that Mr. Finkelstein cannot

notarize the document himself.

After the phone call, it is the understanding of the undersigned that Mr. Finkelstein will

provide a properly executed and notarized power of attorney on Monday July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2018 along

with a contemporaneous HIPAA executed after the execution of that power of attorney

PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT DEMANDS

Plaintiff served their document demands on June 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Counsel resolved that

Defendants would respond within the 30 days offered by the FRCP.

June 29, 2018 Page 3

## **RULE 34 INSPECTION**

Plaintiffs' expert will choose between one of seven (7) dates to offered by Defendants to conduct their inspection - 7/9, 7/16, 7/17, 7/19, 7/23, 7/24 and 7/25.

## CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT ATTENDANCE

It is hereby ordered that the parties show cause at 10:00 a.m. on July 13, 2018 why they should not be held in contempt and why counsel should not be sanctioned for failure to comply with the Court's order that they meet and confer for not less than three hours in person. Any written responses to the Court's order to show cause are due by July 9, 2018. Mr. Figueroa and a client-representative for the Defendant must attend the July 13, 2018 conference. By July 3, 2018, both parties must submit to the Court a list of three dates, times, and locations for Plaintiff's deposition and three dates and times that should be acceptable to conduct an inspection of the subject premises. If there is no overlap in the parties' lists, the Court will pick a date, time, and location for Plaintiff's deposition and a date and time for the inspection in the Court's discretion. By July 3, 2018, Plaintiff must submit for the Court's inspection a copy of the power of attorney which Plaintiff's counsel relied upon in executing the original HIPAA release. The Court recommends the parties meet in person, as previously directed, to address any outstanding discovery issues prior to the July 13, 2018 status conference.

SO ORDERED.

HON. VALERIE CAPRONI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7/2/2018